

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMING United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,703	05/01/2001	Mark W. Kroll	A01P1028	6988
36802 75	590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
PACESETTER, INC.			OROPEZA, FRANCES P	
15900 VALLE SYLMAR, CA	Y VIEW COURT 91392-9221		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.4			3762	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		< A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7 17
Advisory Action	09/847,703	KROLL, MARK W.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frances P. Oropeza	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ice with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	-	in the final rejection wh	debeverie leter le
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	· ·		ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in because and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>The Applicant amended the independent</u>	· -		eing delivered
<u>between the left ventricular electrode and the right</u> <u>require further search and consideration</u> . (See 37)		ndments introduce ne	w issues that
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-30 .		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allower	nce hecause:
The request of reconsideration has been considered by	at account or place the application in	. Jonainon for allowal	50000000.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. Other:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Engel LANGELA DASYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Frances P. Oropeyor Oct Unit 3762 5/16/05